

Report Phase Consultation Responses

P380 'Revision to Replacement Reserve Bid Data deadline submission requirements'



Phase

[Initial Written Assessment](#)[Definition Procedure](#)[Assessment Procedure](#)[Report Phase](#)[Implementation](#)

This Report Phase Consultation was issued on **18 February 2019**, with responses invited by **4 March 2019**.

Consultation Respondents

Respondent	No. of Parties/Non-Parties Represented	Role(s) Represented
National Grid Electricity System Operator	1 / 0	Transmission Company

P380
Report Phase Consultation
Responses

5 March 2019

Version 1.0

Page 1 of 4

© ELEXON Limited 2019

Question 1: Do you agree with the Panel's initial unanimous recommendation that P380 should be approved?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
1	0	0	0

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
National Grid Electricity System Operator	Yes	We fully support the Panel's recommendation that P380 should be approved. As described in the proposal form, this modification will better support the BSC Objectives by ensuring alignment between the BSC and Replacement Reserve Implementation Framework and so ensure the benefits of P344 can be delivered whilst keeping the Transmission Company compliant.

Question 2: Do you agree with the Panel that the redlined changes to the BSC deliver the intention of P380?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
1	0	0	0

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
National Grid Electricity System Operator	Yes	We agree that the redlined changes to the BSC deliver the intention of the proposal and the associated benefits.

Question 3: Do you agree with the Panel's recommended Implementation Date?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
1	0	0	0

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
National Grid Electricity System Operator	Yes	We agree with the Panel's recommended Implementation Date as the proposal does not require any system changes by any party and is ahead of the TERRE go-live date.

Question 4: Do you agree with the Panel's initial view that P380 should be treated as a Self-Governance Modification?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
1	0	0	0

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
National Grid Electricity System Operator	Yes	We agree that P380 should be treated as a Self-Governance Modification as the proposal is not expected to have any material impacts against the defined Self-Governance criteria.

Question 5: Do you have any further comments on P380?

Summary

Yes	No
0	1

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
National Grid Electricity System Operator	No	Not applicable